

United States Patent and Trademark Office

pu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/715,064	11/17/2003	Anand Pande	15156US01	7036		
75	7590 05/30/2006			EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER C. WINSLADE MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD			TSAI, SHENG JEN			
500 WEST MADISON ST.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
34TH FLOOR			2186			
CHICAGO, IL 60661			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/715,064	PANDE, ANAND		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sheng-Jen Tsai	2186		

	Sheng-Jen Tsai	2100	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE_OF_APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	it of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see No		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 7-10	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-6</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see below.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
			

Applicants propose to amend Independent claims 7 and 9 with the new limitations.

Since the prosecution of this Application is currently at post-final-action stage, the amendment will not be entered as it necessitates a new search and claim analysis to determined the patentability of the amended claims.

The options available to Applicants at post-final-action stage are: Abandon the Application, Appeal, or Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Among the three, only RCE would permit new, additional or different limitations to be entered, assuming that the new limitations are supported by the original disclosure.

PIERRE BATAILLE

5/25/06